

### **Neural SPDE solver for uncertainty quantification in high-dimensional ocean dynamics**

Maxime Beauchamp, Pierre Tandeo, Nicolas Desassis, Ronan Fablet

#### **To cite this version:**

Maxime Beauchamp, Pierre Tandeo, Nicolas Desassis, Ronan Fablet. Neural SPDE solver for uncertainty quantification in high-dimensional ocean dynamics. 2024 - 55th International Liege Colloquium on Ocean Dynamics, May 2024, Liège (B), Belgium. pp.1-1, 2024. hal-04675414

#### **HAL Id: hal-04675414 <https://imt.hal.science/hal-04675414>**

Submitted on 22 Aug 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

# **Neural SPDE solver for uncertainty quantification in high-dimensional ocean dynamics**

# Maxime Beauchamp<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Tandeo<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Desassis<sup>2</sup> and Ronan Fablet<sup>1</sup>

1. IMT Atlantique Bretagne-Pays de la Loire, Brest, France, 2. Mines ParisTech

maxime.beauchamp@imt-atlantique.fr

## **I. Context**



Over the last years, a very active field of research aims at exploring new data-driven and learning-based methodologies to propose computationally efficient strategies able to benefit from the large amount of observational remote sensing and numerical simulations for the reconstruction, interpolation and prediction of high-resolution derived products of geophysical fields. We use the BOOST-SWOT ocean data challenges (ODC) as playground datasets.

We investigate how the ensemble-based extension of 4DVarNet, denoted here as **4DVarNet-Gen** helps to quantify the uncertainty of Sea Level spatio-|temporal fields  $\mathbf{x} = {\mathbf{x}_{t-L}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{t+L}}$ .

The 2020 ODC provides the dataset to train our models: the Ground Truth (GT)  $|x|$  is the high-resolution 1/60° NATL60 configuration of the NEMO (Nucleus for European Modeling of the Ocean) model. A  $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$  GULFSTREAM region is used with downgraded resolution to  $1/20^\circ$ . Along-track nadir + wide-swath SWOT pseudo-observations y are sampled from GT based on real along-track satellites paths. Last, a baseline is provided by the operational DUACS Optimal Interpolation (OI) product.

where  $\lambda_{1,2}$  are predefined or tunable scalar weights. In the regularization term, we substitute to the traditional dynamical prior  $\mathcal M$  a neural operator  $\Phi$  which convolutional architecture. Then, we can exploit the automatic differentiation tools embedded in deep learning framework to consider the following iterative gradient-based solver  $\Gamma$  for the minimization of variational cost  $\mathcal{J}_{\Phi}$  w.r.t. state x:

where K is a convolutional LSTM model and  $\alpha$  a normalization scalar. This iterative rule based on a trainable LSTM operator is similar to that classically used in meta-learning schemes [Andrychowicz et al., 2016]. Overall, a 4DVar-Net scheme defines a neural architecture with a joint learning of operators  $\{\Phi, \Gamma\}$ , stated as the minimization of a reconstruction cost  $\mathcal{L}$ , which typically stands for the MSE (mean squared error) w.r.t. the Ground Truth.



## **II. Neural variational scheme with SPDE priors**

### **Basic concepts**

From a methodological point of view, 4DVarNet [Fablet et al., 2021] derives an end-to-end neural architecture from an underlying variational data assimilation formulation:

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \Omega) = \lambda_1 ||\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})||_{\Omega}^2 + \lambda_2 ||\mathbf{x} - \Phi(\mathbf{x})||^2,
$$
\n(1)

$$
x^{(i+1)} = x^{(i)} - \mathcal{K}\left[\alpha \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{J}_{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}, \Omega), h(i), c(i)\right]
$$
(2)

### **Stochastic extension**

prior surrogate model a linear SPDE:

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{M}_{t+1}\boldsymbol{x}_t + \boldsymbol{z}_{t+1}, \quad \mathbf{M}_{t+1} = \left[\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L}_t(\Theta_t)\right]^{-1}, \quad \boldsymbol{z}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \tau_{t+1}^2 \mathbf{I})
$$

Operator  $L(\Theta)$  states as the finite difference discretization scheme of a fractional advection-diffusion operator  $\left\{\kappa^2(\mathbf{s},t)-\nabla\cdot\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{s},t)-\nabla\cdot\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{s},t)\nabla\right\}^{\alpha/2}$ with m and H resp. the advection vector and diffusion tensor.  $\tau_t$  acts as variance regularization over space and time and  $\Theta$  encompasses the SPDE parameters. Back to the OI variational problem, the prior regularization cost simply becomes  $\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{Q}_{\Theta}\mathbf{x}$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}_{\Theta}$  denotes the precision matrix of the prior state trajectory, and the joint learning of SPDE parametrization  $\Theta$  with solver  $\Gamma$  for the reconstruction  $\mathbf{x}^*$  states as the minimization of:

$$
\arg\min_{\mathbf{\Theta},\Gamma} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{\Theta}^{\star},\mathbf{x}^{\star}) \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{x}^{\star} = \Psi_{\mathbf{\Theta},\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)},\mathbf{y},\Omega)
$$
\n(4)

with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{\Theta}^{\star},\mathbf{x}^{\star})=\lambda_1\mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}^{\star})+\lambda_2\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{\Theta}^{\star}).$   $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}^{\star})=||\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\star}||^2$  is the reconstruction cost, i.e. the MSE w.r.t Ground Truth and  $\mathcal{L}_2(\Theta^{\star}|\mathbf{x})$  is the negative log-likelihood  $-\mathcal{L}(\Theta^{\star}|\mathbf{x})$  with:

$$
\mathcal{L}(\Theta^{\star}|\mathbf{x}) = -|Q(\Theta^{\star})| + \mathbf{x}^{T}Q(\Theta^{\star})\mathbf{x}
$$

used as the prior regularization cost.

Figure 4: An example of the GT anomaly (GT-4DVarNet) for near-real-time (NRT) application along the data assimilation window and one simulation after training of the SPDE parameters









### **References**

Marcin Andrychowicz, Misha Denil, Sergio Gomez, Matthew W Hoffman, David Pfau, Tom Schaul, Brendan Shillingford, and Nando De Freitas. Learning to learn by gradient descent by gradient descent. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages

M. Asch, M. Bocquet, and M. Nodet. *Data Assimilation*. Fundamentals of Algorithms. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, December 2016. ISBN 978-1-61197-453-9. doi: 10.1137/1.9781611974546. URL https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611974546.

M. Beauchamp, Q. Febvre, H. Georgenthum, and R. Fablet. 4dvarnet-ssh: end-to-end learning of variational interpolation schemes for nadir and wide-swath satellite altimetry. *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions*, 2022:1–37, 2022. doi: 10.5194/gmd-2022-241. URL https://gmd.copernicus.org/preprints/gmd-2022-241/.

R. Fablet, M. Beauchamp, L. Drumetz, and F. Rousseau. Joint interpolation and representation learning for irregularly sampled satellite-derived geophysical fields. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, 7:25, 2021. ISSN 2297-4687. doi: 10.3389/fams.2021. 655224. URL https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fams.2021.

H. Wackernagel. *Multivariate Geostatistics. An Introduction with Applications*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 2003. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-05294-5. ISBN 978-

### **III. SPDE estimation and Generative modeling**

